1F 1396 -

p25

GR [illegible]

Previous references.
Misc 14-92

1896-97
191 .
(Misc)

File No. 53

Serial Nos. 1

Later references.
" 27-97

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1615

Major ~~Part~~ B for December 97

SUBJECT.

Levy of a Double Rate of fine on cattle in Grazing Rights

W 11840—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

Levy of double rates of fines ~~for~~ on cattle trespassing during nights and paying monies thereon as rewards to seizers of cattle

---

Cattle pound receipts, which ~~were a source of~~ public revenue, were formally made over to the Bangalore City municipal Board in Chief Comr's notification etc 71, dated 19th May 1871.

Serial etc 1a in L.F. 139 of 92.

(2) The Chief Comr sanctioned the extension to the City municipality Bye laws etc of the Cantonment Municipality authorizing the levy of the following rates of fines on cattle trespassing within municipal limits between the hours of 8 P M and 4 A.M.

Notification etc 149 of 14-8-74
Serial etc 1b

1	Buffaloes	Rs 1	0
2	Bullocks or cows	0	8
3	Sheep or goats	0	2
4	Calf or ass	0	4
5	Horse or ass	0	8

The above are double the rates authorized by the Cattle Trespass Act then in force in Bangalore.

(3) In 1880 the Cantonment municipality introduced ~~a~~ pound rules ~~Bye-laws~~ authorizing payment of half of the impounding fees as rewards to persons bringing cattle to the pounds And the Municipal Board of the City resolved in para VIII of their Proceedings dated 21st December 1885 to introduce into their municipality the rules then in force in the Station municipality in regard to the payment of reward to seizers of cattle (Please see several etc 15/a in file). These rules ~~do~~ did not appear to have been approved by the Mysore Govt, but it continued to be in force till February 1893, when the practice, based on the Board's resolution dated 21st December 1885 was ordered to be discontinued as being wholly illegal and fees authorized by law alone were ordered to be collected with the observation that if the Board

Cattle pound rules para 2 of Cantt proceedings with Bangalore President, etc 18 & 19 16-1-93 several etc 19/a.

Several etc 15/a

of paying reward

2

Board should consider that enhanced rates authorized by the proviso to sec 12 of the Cattle Trespass Regulation VIII of 1892 should be introduced into the City municipality. a special application should be made setting forth the grounds fully. (Please see paras 2 & 3 of Pro etc $\frac{13279\text{-}89}{2\,\mathcal{F}\,139\text{-}92}$ of 4th February 1893. ~~Issued~~

Issued to 20

(4) Owing to the falling off in revenue from cattle pounds since the date of the above proceedings as is evident from the marginal figures, the President requests. ~~(1) that double~~

Results when remands were allowed — Profits for annum

1891-92 - - - - Rs 670-13-3

1892-93 - - - - - 415-9-3

Results after remands were discontinued

1893-94 - - - - - 310-9-0

1894-95 ——— 359-10-0

(1) that double the rates of fines authorized by law may be ordered to be now levied, without special application, as required in Pro 4th January 1893, as the measure is in accordance with the municipal Bye law duly confirmed by Govt

and published in the Gazette so far back as 1874.

Under sec 12 of the new Regulation, a special report appears necessary. The Govt notification etc $\frac{15284}{2\cdot F375\cdot 92}$ + manuscript copy putup dt 22/3/94 specifies the localities where double the rates of fines may be imposed and the City of Bangalore is not included in the list of such localities. Neglect on the part of owner and damage to crops or other produce and not decrease of revenue is the condition precedent for the levy of double rates prescribed in sec 12 of the new Regulation

(2) That the practice of granting rewards may be ordered to be resumed under the rules in force in the Mysore Station and extended to the City Municipality, on the ground that these rules were framed in consonance with the Chief Comm's notification of May 1871. But this was expressly ordered to be discontinued as being manifestly illegal (Vide Secret etc 20). Moreover notification of 1871 only assigns cattle pound receipts to the Municipality and does not authorize the framing of any rule for rewards

(3) That under Sec 30 of the Cattle Trespass Regulation the President of the City Municipality may, be authorized to ~~exercise the functions of a Magistrate under~~ administer cattle pound rules as local authority. This has already been done [illegible] Para 1 of Govt.

(5)

The submission of this reference is delayed from want of replies which are said to be before the 2nd counsellor DWJ 23/11/96

Notification No 15283 / L F 375-92 / 22/3/94. May this be pointed out to the President

Submitted DWJ 23/11/96

(1) Under section 12 of the Cattle Trespass Act as amended by Regulation VIII of 1892, I think we may authorize the levy of double rates.

(2) The document of records does not in my humble opinion seem ultra vires. The expression "or for any other purpose connected with the execution of this Act" used in section 18(b) of the Cattle Trespass Act seems to cover the payment of rewards. The object of the Act is to prevent straying from cattle trespass. The payment of rewards will promote that object & therefore to be considered under "expenses connected with the execution of the

26/11/96

upon such section -

The President has already been ~~constituted~~ ~~a local authority~~ within the powers he asks for -

I moved these words should the word North the West place moved be to exclude the Bangalore city from the operation of the Act, and to permit the Boards deal with cases of caste disputes under the usual Procedure.

Seconded Mr Ogilvie

26-1-96

7

Filed Bundle C

15-9-97

25th

I think the levy of double rate of fines and the payment of a moiety of the said fines as rewards to impounders of cattle seized during nights in the City limits, is unnecessary

Even in Madras rewards are not generally paid to persons apprehending stray cattle - The only municipality, which abounds with open land, fines are exception and has been specially dealt with in Madras Act of xii [illegible] of 1865 just

In a dense town like Bangalore [illegible], cattle are not allowed to forage and care taken and, at night they are all secured in their sheds - There is reason to apprehend, the [illegible] such nuisance here as is [illegible]

who caused in a place like Ootacamund by cattle straying on public places, thereby the introduction of the system proposed the Board ——

[illegible]

-9 97

13/12/97

sent to Deman 3

Subd & Deman Q
16/9/97

Subd & ORT Deman

This means that the Notification is 8th Dec (97, Memo -VV 26) has to be cancelled.

1896

1912

From

The President
Municipal Commission
Bangalore City.

2424

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev: & Genl Department.

Dated 27th August 1896
Despatched
Received
Govt. No
No 45

Enclosure No.

Submitting for information and orders of Government a Short history regarding the continuance of the reward system to informers in the City Municipality as well as the system of levying double rates called "Bright fees".

...rrying double the
... of fees prescribed in the
Trespass Regulation and
... rewards to seizures of cattle.
... was ordered to be
...tinued in Pro. etc 13279-89 &
... F 139 92
3. The reconsideration of this
... requested
... Presidents request that
... may be authorised under
... of the Cattle Trespass Regulation
...rcise all the functions of the
...et Magistrate This was
... done in substitution etc
... dt 18/3/94, to which the
...-92
...dent may be referred to

MJ 31/8/96

...Govt
The double rates of fine for
seizures, which were in
force under sanction of Govt upon
valuations, were declared
illegal by the Mops of 4th Sept...
sted in para 3 of those Mops, the
may be referred for the opinion
Board.
The proposal to restore the
of rewards to informers can only
be entertained, as many
C. J. Rep...
2/9

...
...
...
...
...
...

Sept
S 9 96
Cattle pound Regulation
file MJ 31/8/96

Submitted for orders.

City Municipal Office
Bangalore 2nd August 96

No 45.

From

The President
Municipal Commission
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue & Genl. Departts.

Sir.

With reference to Government Proceedings No 1327-82/L.F 139-92 dated 4th February 1893 prohibiting the levy of double rate of fines and the payment of a moiety of the said fine as rewards to impounders of cattle seized during nights, I have the honor to submit for information of Government a short history of the case

In the year 1880 the Civil and Military Station Municipality framed and sanctioned certain Rules for governing the Cattle Pounds within its jurisdiction. Among other things it contained a provision (1) for the levying of an enhanced (or double) rate of the usual fines which was called "night fees" and (2) for the payment of a reward of half of the above fine to impounders of cattle seized between the hours of 8 p m and 4 a m. While the aforesaid Rules were in force in the Civil and Military Station Municipality, the cattle Pounds in the City were being administered under the Cattle Trespass Act of 1871 which did not admit of rewards being granted nor the levy of double rates without

Sec: Cl. 19

Special sanction. Owing to the disparity or dissimilitude in the Cattle Pound Rules of the Civil and Military Station and that of the City Municipality a great loss was caused to the Pound Revenues in the latter, while it favored the former, for the simple reason that a reward system prevailed in the Civil and Military Station Municipality; which induced impounders of Cattle seized during nights within the City Municipal limits to be taken to the former with a view of obtaining rewards. This state of things appears to have attracted the notice of the then President of the City Municipality who brought the matter before the Board for Consideration

Municipal Commissioners at a
meeting held on the 21st December '85
passed a Resolution to the effect Sanction
that the Rules in the force in No 150
the Civil and Military Station
Municipality regarding the grant
of rewards to impounders of Cattle
seized during nights and the
levying of double rates or other-
-wise called "night fees" should
be extended to the City which was
advantageous. Ever since the City
Cattle Pound worked on the same
footing as that of the Civil and
Military Station Pound and all
differences previously existing
in this respect were removed.

The system which prevailed
and worked to the advantage of
the Pound under the above ar
-rangements has been done

away

away with on receipt of the above quoted Government Order and the business has retroverted to the old state of affairs while the Pound Revenues have considerably suffered as will be seen from the results shewn in the appended statement.

Results while reward system was in force

Period	Fines			Fodder Charges			Sale proceeds			Total			Deduct reward paid to Impounders			Balance credited to Municipal Funds		
1891-92	644	-	-	164	4	-	80	13	3	889	1	3	218	4	-	670	13	3
1892-93	358	3	-	115	2	3	100	8	-	573	13	3	158	4	-	415	9	3
Total Rs	1002	3	-	279	6	3	181	5	3	1462	14	6	376	8	-	1086	6	6
Results after the abolition of the above system —																		
1893-94	229	8	-	63	-	-	18	-	-	310	9	-	-	-	-	310	9	-
1894-95	264	3	-	81	1	-	14	6	0	359	10	-	-	-	-	359	10	-
So less than the average of 1892-93 Total Rs	493	11	-	144	1	-	32	6	-	670	3	-	-	-	-	670	3	-

As regards para 3 of the Proceedings under reference, I beg to submit for the consideration of Govern-

that a special application by the Municipal Board for sanction for enhancement of the rates at this stage appear to be unnecessary; for a Bye-law so far back as 1874 has been extended or rather introduced into the City which duly provides for the levy of the enhanced rates otherwise called "night fee" which is equal to double the fine provided in the Regulation quoted in the above Government Proceedings. This Bye-law has been sanctioned by the Board duly confirmed by Government and notified in the Mysore Gazette of 1874.

As regards the other question whether the Municipality could grant a moiety of the above fine as rewards for impounders of cattle seized during night, I

beg to state that I am inclined to think that it can be done under the Rules of the Civil and Military Station Municipality which has already been extended to the City as stated above; in as-much as the said Rules appear to have been framed consonant to Government Notification No. 71 dated 19th May 1871. Under which Notification, Government has been pleased to formally assign to the Municipality the Pound Revenues.

I also beg to submit for the Consideration of Government, another point in connection with this matter that in a legal point of view, I think that the Municipality cannot as it stands administer Cattle Pound under the Cattle Trespass Act

of 1871 as amended by Regulation of 1892 since its functions have not, as required by Rule 31 of the said Act as yet been transferred to the Local Authority i.e. the President of the City Municipal Board.

Under these circumstances I solicit Government will be pleased to order the continuance of the Reward system to impounders in the City Municipality as well as the system of levying double rates called "night fees" and the transfer of functions relating to Cattle Pound to the President in view to prevent clash of authorities between the District Magistrate, in whom all powers are vested under the Cattle Pound Trespass Act and the President

President, City Municipal
Board. 18

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

T. T. Lewis

PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

BANGALORE, CITY.

Mahto

19

35 1896

2819

From The Deputy Commr. Bangalore City

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

Dept.

Dated 9 Feb: 96

Despatched

Received

General No. 85

No.

Enclosure No.

Soliciting early orders of Govt: re the revival of the System of levying double rate of fines or "Night fees" on Cattle seized & impounded between the hours of 8 P.M. & 4 A.M. &c

U

(24)

No. 85.

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
City Bangalore, 19th October 1896

From

The President, Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Genl & Rev. Departments
Bangalore

SIR,

I have the honor to invite your attention to this office letter No. 45 dated 27th August 1896 and to solicit the early orders of Government regarding the revival of the system of levying double rate of fines or "Nightfees" on cattle seized and impounded between the hours of 8 P.M. and 4 A.M. and the payment of a moiety thereof as reward to the seizers of cattle.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

T. Jeomalu &
PRESIDENT, [illegible]
BANGALORE

24 A

To

The [illegible]

Y

My dear Ramachandra.

Sir,

Dec 1896

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to ascertain and favour me with information as to the practice prevailing in the Madras Presidency with regard to the payment of rewards to informers under the Cattle Trespass Act, and under what provision of the law the rewards are paid. Do such rewards come under "fees and charges" provided for by section 18 of the Act?

30/11/96 Please return the Postcard

Yours very truly

C

1-X-12-96.

(L. J 139-92)

V. Ramachandra Rao Esq:
Head Asst Collector
(J. J dept)

24

Read:—

Letters etc 45 and 85 dated 27 August 1896 and 19 Oct respectively from the President of the Bangalore City municipality requesting continuance of the sanction for the levy of double the fees of rates on cattle impounded levying magistrate and the payment of a moiety thereof as rewards to seizers of cattle, as well as for the transfer of the powers and functions of the Magistrate of the District.

Order thereon

The attention of the President is invited to the Not of 15 March 1896 published in the Mysore Gazette dated 22/3/96 whereby the powers and functions of the Magistrate

1 mf Cattle Trespass Act

1-12-96

2 pue

2-12-96

L1052

6"76-7 8/12/96

39-92

2 to Ist accordingly.

of the District under sees 4, 5; 0
12, 14 and 17 of the Cattle Trespass Act
~~Regulations~~ may be transferred
to the Presidents of municipalities
in regard to pounds situate
within their local jurisdiction
~~of their respective Boards~~.

(2). A notification will be issued under S. 12 of the Cattle Trespass Act, as amended by Regn. VIII of 1862, authorizing the levy of ~~double~~ rates in the Bangalore City & Civil & Mily Cantonment in regard to cattle seized during nights.

(3) The Presidents'
letter No 45 dated 27 August
1896 with a copy of Govt
Pro No $\frac{13279-89}{L.F\ 139-92}$ dated 4
February 1893 will be
forwarded to the Govt
Advocate, with a request that he will
~~who will be requested to~~ state his
opinion as to whether the payment of a portion of the proceeds as a reward to informers, ~~to~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~legal, under Govt's proposal~~ is legal under S. 18 of the Cattle Trespass Act.
~~proposal of the President~~
to levy double the rates
of fines on impounded cattle
and to pay half of such rates
as rewards to persons
impounding cattle known as D A
registers.

Secretary 28/11/96

30/11/96
The President Bangalore City
Govt + Advocate with copy of President's letter of 27/8/96 + Govt Order

Secretary
In order to ascertain the practice obtaining in districts in the matter of payment of rewards, if any, under the Cattle Trespass Regulations, a reference has been through the Resident as per letter to the L. F. dept. vide Govt Order No. [illegible]

Notification

24

Under the authority vested in them by S. 12 of the Cattle Trespass Act I of 1871, as amended by Regulation VIII of 1892, the Govt. of Mysore are pleased to direct that, for every head of cattle ~~of any kind~~ trespassing within the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality between the hours of 8 P.M. and 4 A.M., and seized and impounded, there shall be levied fines at the following rates, Viz.—

Elephant	Four rupees.
Camel & Buffalo ...	One rupee.
Horse, Mare, gelding, pony, colt, filly, mule, bull, bullock, cow & heifer ...	Eight Annas
Calf, ass or pig	Four annas
Ram, ewe, sheep, lamb, goat or kid ...	Two annas

53 K-96 5

Yl 1053

6578

28/12/96

Dpal 12-12-96

By order

4.1.-12-96

Secy

30/11/96

The Commissioner Mysore Bangalore.

being refunded to Government.

s are held to fall under sec-

the cattle Trespass Act.

Yours very sincerely,

V Raghavachari

Secy. 11/12/96

Secy 11/12/96.

Gen. 31]

189 4902 L1174

From The Government Advocate in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 9th December 1896.

Despatched

Received

General No. 91

No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting opinion under Clause (b) of Sec: 18 of the Cattle Trespass Act

As Mr. Nevill's letter showing that the practice in Madras — the appellate powers the Bangalore [illegible]

C
11-12-96

Mr. S.

Gl Records.
end Branch
Secretary C
12-12-96

L 886
10/12

Write reference to the 1st Convention order dt 1/11/96 & office memo. the opinion from Govt Advocate & all the Madras L.S. connected in & and to payment of remand

contained in Act III of 1859
its amending Acts V of 1860, and
~~XXII~~ of 1861.

The Indian Gazettes
in the General Records only date
from 1863.

The Bill to consolidate
Law relating to Cattle trespass
introduced in 1870, vide pages Nos.
1150 and 1200 of the Indian Gazette
herewith put up.

For the Bill itself, please
see page 344.

P43.
23/12/96
Submitted

Office of the Government Advocate
in Abyssinia
9th December 1896.

No. 91

From

The Government Advocate
in Abyssinia

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Abyssinia

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Government Order No. 65 76-7, L.F. 139-92 dated 8th Instant, I have the honor to state that under the latter clause (6) of Section 18, of the Cattle Trespass Act, any portion of the fines realized may be utilized for any purpose connected with the execution of the Act, as the reward in question can be considered as an expense in furtherance of the execution of the Act although there is no special provision in it to there.

I have

being nothing in the Act, either express
or implied prohibiting such a reward
being given, I am of opinion, that
the payment of a portion of the proceeds
as a reward can be sanctioned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

G Ramsbotham
Government Advocate

Copy of D addressed to
Mr Raghavalchariar
18. 4. 97.

My dear Mr Raghavalchariar

Many thanks for the information contained in your letter of Dec 96 re the payment of rewards to persons seizing stray cattle.

Can you kindly send me a copy of the order under which these rewards are held to fall under Section 18(6) of the cattle trespass act. If this is not possible I shall feel obliged by your giving me the No and date of the order so as to enable me to apply to the Madras Govt for a copy through the Brit: Resident in Mysore.

With kind regards
Yours sincerely

Local & Municipal Departm

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

FORT ST. GEORGE,

21st April 189

My dear Mr. Srinivasa Aiyangar,

The Government Order in whic
it was held that the payment of reward
to persons seizing stray cattle falls
under section 18(b) of the cattle Tre
Act issued in the Judicial Department
the 24th January 1895 No.165 Judicial.
requisition for a copy of the order will
have to be addressed to the Chief Secre
tary to Government.

Yours very Sincerely,

V Raghava Chari

No. 11653
L7139-97

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

DATED BANGALORE, 26th March 1897.

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

To

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE
RESIDENT IN MYSORE

SIR.

I am directed to request that
You will be good enough as to instruct
the Chief Secretary to the Govt of Madras
to favor the Dewan with a copy of the
order passed by that Govt regarding
the payment of rents, &c, to be
seizing cattle &c in the cattle
trespass act &c

I have &c &c

24/4/97

24/4/97

OFFICE OF THE
No 5
2 JUL 1897
P. 5
DIWAN OF MYSORE

From The Asst to the Resr in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Dept. 5

Dated 1st July 97

Despatched

Received

General No 2747
No

Enclosure No. 1

forwarding a copy of Madras Govt: Proceedings re payment of rewards to persons impounding Cattle.

References to be marked

Sl Record, please put up. file quoted written.

10/7

10/7/97

This has been called for as per 1st Councillor's dy no. and D letter from the V Rly Manager dy

Madras (St 27).

orders have to be passed the Presidents' proposal (para 23) to pay a [illegible] fees" on impounded cattle

Dy 10/7/97

The Madras G-O. tacitly accepts principle that rewards are within the scope of S. 188 of the Act. For the other hand, the transfer of half the fines realised within 48 hrs for payment to would not be for a purpose connected with the execution of the Act, and would be illegal. Please see also para 9 of Madras Accountant General's pencil note. Our first Manager would have given the same opinion.

10/7/97

[illegible]

13-7-97

No general orders have been issued on Madras on the subject as intimated in this letter. I doubt the expediency of granting rewards generally. In a place like Mangalore we may consider the matter shortly - but before passing general orders [illegible] should be consulted and have been issued during the past month under the heading of Under Cost No 6578 on [illegible]

compared [illegible]

13/7/97

14

No. 2747 Enclos

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 1st July 1897.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 11653/4 F 139-9 dated the 26th April last, I am directed to forward a copy of the proceedings of the Government of Madras in the Judicial Department, No. 165 dated the 24th Jany 1895, sanctioning the grant to the Inam Commd, Cotacamund, of one half of the levied in respect of pounds within Inam limits, on condition that the amount is in the payment of rewards to persons impounding cattle. No general orders on

subject have been issued by the Madras Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

G. Chin Captain

1st Assistant Resident.

H.

Judicial Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following papers.

From the Chairman, Municipal Cocanada, No. 1724, dated 14th August 1894, requesting to allot a portion of the found fine to the Municipality, to offer rewards for impounding cattle.

From the Accountant General, Madras No. L. F. D./410, dated 29 October 1894.

With reference to endorsement No. 1887 dated the 18th September 1894, referring to me for remarks letter from the Chairman of the Cocanada Municipality requesting the grant of a portion of the found fine realizations in the Town, to concert measures for seizing and impounding stray cattle I have the honour to say that Section 18 (b) of Act I of 1871 would in my opinion cover the payments of rewards to impounders of cattle when the grant of such rewards is unavoidable. There is however noth-

in the correspondence to shew (1) why with the issue of stringent orders and efficient supervision Section II of the Act should not meet the necessities of the case nor (2) why, if rewards are to be resorted to, their payment cannot be arranged for without a transfer of funds to the Municipality. Perhaps the Magistrate might be consulted. It is reported that he negatived the Chairman's application for money to meet the contemplated rewards.

From the Collector and District Magistrate, Nilgiris, G. No. 1006, dated 22nd December 1894:-

In returning herewith endorsement of the Under-Secretary, No. 2188, dated 10th November 1894, I have the honour to state that I am of opinion that the sums received from fines should, in the first instance, be devoted, after paying salaries of pound keepers, to the construction and maintenance of pounds and that no surplus can be fairly said to exist, when new pounds are required in many villages, while most of the existing pounds need repair and improvement. X X

X X X X

X. X. X. X.

2. 1

Order :- No. 165, dated 24th January 1895.

The Government remarks that th District Magistrate does not seem to hav considered that if measures are taken t stimulate the impounding of cattle, th proceeds from pounds fees will rise. Und these circumstances, the Government is for -pared to grant to the Municipal Comm one half of the fees levied in respect o pounds within Municipal limits, on co -dition that the whole of such grant applied to the payment of rewards to -sons impounding Cattle, any portio not so spent being refunded.

Sd. J. F. Price.
Chief Secretary.

Copy.

Registrar.

RK

37

40

Read:— Correspondence ending with
Letter No. 2747/91 1st July 97 from
the 1st Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore forwarding copy of
Madras Govt Order No. 165 Jud.
dt 24th Jany 95 in regard to the
payment of rewards to persons
impounding cattle —

Order thereon No. 1211
Z.7139-92 dt
22nd July 97.

RL 180

Before passing final orders
on the subject the President
of the Bangalore city Municipality
requested to report what amount
has been realized during the past
6 months under the Govt Order
6578 dt 18th Dec 96 in the
Z.7139-92 cattle impounded, as compared
with the amount realized for the previous
half year during the previous year

I slightly alter the wording of the 1st Councillor, for the previous year, to extend to the corresponding half of the previous year, as in some seasons cattle are much largely impounded

I don't know why Mr. C.V. wants this; so let his own wording remain.

dtd 19/7/97
for Secretary.
dtd 16/7/97

To The Pres. of the
Bangalore city Municipality

1897–98.

From

The President,

Bangalore City Municipality.

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

General & Revenue Departments

Bangalore.

Dated 24th August 1897

Despatched

Received

General No. 28

No.

Enclosure No

Reporting upon the amount of fine levied on impounded cattle during the last 6 months as compared with the amount realized during the previous half year.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 1100 AUG 1897

No. 28 (12)

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
City Bangalore, 4th *August* 1897.

From

The President, Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

SIR,

As directed in Government Proceedings No: 1211 / L.F. 139-92 of the 22nd ultimo, I have the honour to note below the amount of fine levied on impounded cattle during the last 6 months as compared with the amount realized during the previous half year.

Months	Amount			Months	Amount		
				1897			
July	40	3	"	January	16	14	"
August	19	15	"	February	17	2	"
September	16	11	"	March	16	11	"
October	19	12	"	April	21	"	"
November	16	15	"	May	20	3	"
December	16	5	"	June	25	11	"
Total	129	13	"	Total	117	9	"

I have the honor to be
Your most obedient servant
D. A. Chokkai

Notification

~~Under the Authority~~
~~vested in them by S. 42 of the~~
~~Cattle Trespass Act~~ of 1871
as amended by Sec. VIII of
~~1892, the Govt. of Ceylon~~
~~are pleased to withdraw~~
~~their own~~ Notification No
65-28 dy 8. Dec '96 issued
u/s 7. 189. 92 Cattle Tr. Act of 1871 as amended by
sec. 42 of the sec. VII. of 1892, and
prescribing double rates of fines
for cattle trespassing within
the limits of the Municipal City of Kandy
but between the hours of 8 P.m. and
4 A.m. and keeping and
impounding is hereby
withdrawn.

6-1-98

By Order
Sgd
To the Controller, Superintendent
Comptroller Municipal Kandy
City of Kandy

2 bags

7871 — 8/11/98

RL/1402

(Revoked).

RL/no 1403

7872 — 8/11/98
CL 139-92

Rec 7/-

3

Read —

44

Govt Progs No 65-76-7
L.F 139-92
dy 8-12-96 ~~stating that a notification will~~ directing the issue of a notification under S. 12 of the Cattle Trespass Act, authorizing the levy of double rate of fines on cattle seizes during nights in the Bangalore City Municipality, and calling for the Govt Advocate's opinion as to whether the payment of a portion of the proceeds as a reward to informers and seizers of cattle can be sanctioned under the Act.

~~Correspondence~~ ending with Letter No. 20 dy 4th Aug 1897 from the Dy Commr of the Bangalore City Municipality

Pl 1401

Order thereon. 7870
dy 13.12-97.
11/1/98

Govt are of opinion that there is no reason to apprehend the commission of trespasses by cattle straying in public streets

a dense town like Bangalore
where they are not generally allowed to
graze without care being
taken of them and where they
are ~~not~~ herded within walls
at nights; and that, therefore, there is nothing to justify
the levy of a double rate of
fines on cattle impounded
during night and the payment
of ~~both~~ a moiety thereof to
impounders. ~~In~~ The Notifn
of the 21 Dec 1896 No. 65-701
GF 139-92
of 1896 will accordingly
be withdrawn.

6-1-98
22/12/97. Secy. Govt.

To The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore City

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Local Boards Branch, Municipal, dated 13th December 1897.

READ :—

Government Proceedings No. 6576-74—L. F. 139-92, dated 8th December 1896, directing the issue of a Notification under Section 12 of the Cattle Trespass Act, authorizing the levy of double rates of fines on cattle seized during nights in the Bangalore City Municipality, and calling for the Government Advocate's opinion as to whether the payment of a portion of the proceeds as a reward to informers and seizers of cattle can be sanctioned under the Act.

Correspondence ending with letter No. 28, dated 4th August 1897, from the President of the Bangalore City Municipality.

No. 7870—L. F. 139-92, DATED BANGALORE, 13TH DECEMBER 1897.

ORDER THEREON.—Government are of opinion that there is no reason to apprehend the commission of nuisances to any considerable extent by cattle straying in public places in a dense town like Bangalore where they are not generally allowed to graze without care being taken of them and where they are mostly secured within sheds at nights, and that, therefore, there is nothing to justify the levy of a double rate of fine on cattle impounded during nights and the payment of a moiety thereof to impounders. The Notification of the 8th December 1896, No. 6578—L. F. 139-92, dated 8th December 1896, will accordingly be withdrawn.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The President of the Bangalore City Municipality.

1897-98

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH, MUNICIPAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 13th December 1897

7870
L. F. 139-92

Withdrawing Notification No. 6578—L. F. 139-92, dated 8th December 1896, in regard to the levy of a double rate of fine on cattle impounded during nights.

M.G.O.P.S.—26-1-98—125